

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
1	Member of Public A	4/16/13	Page 165, Table	Replace Walt with Ted Shannon Change President to Chairman, Suzie Coe John Dell'orto is vice chairman Contested elections are Mark Foley and John Dell'orto	Updated.
2			Page 166	Agendas and minutes must be posted on website if you have it, according to brown act. The District was in the past posting agendas and minutes on mokehill.org website, but they no longer do that.	Given that Mokehill.org is not directly operated by the District, it appears that this requirement may not be applicable to this website. Report clarified that if the District cannot guide the content of this site, then it should develop its own site.
3			Page 166	You may want to note that the district had two "emergency" meetings, which may be improper given the topic was not necessarily an emergency.	Noted.
4			Page 166	There has been delayed response for public document requests, such as minutes.	Noted. This issue should be covered in the training that is recommended MHFPD undergo in the report.
5			Page 168	The number of calls has increased because calls to put up numbered signs were included in the total number of calls for each year. These requests should not be included in call volume. In the Chief's report these calls are categorized as "public service assists" or "signs."	The District reported that it didn't start logging address signs until 2012 and discontinued that practice in 2013. They are now logging non-emergency calls in a different way. The reason they were reportedly logged initially as incidents was to track time and fuel usage, but that practice has been discontinued. No change made to document
6			Page 169	It takes less than 15 minutes to get to Paloma. Also volunteers are needed in Paloma in order to have a station there. Otherwise the station doesn't make any difference. Right now there are no volunteers.	Content added.
7			Page 169	Golden hills is also at end of fire district, but SAFPD can respond there with the exception of medical aids. So, a case can be made that a station may be needed in Golden Hills as well. However, volunteers would still be needed to make it happen. It is exactly the same time to respond to Paloma and Golden Hills (5.5 minutes timed), so argument should be made for both.	Added.
8			Page 170	The expenditures on fire truck are not correct. The \$254,825 doesn't include the down payment of \$93,600 in cash. The down payment doesn't appear to be approved and is not recorded. The Board voted to spend no more than \$300,000. The Engine was ordered but the district did not have the loan yet. They needed the down payment, so made out a check for down payment.	Awaiting response from district.
9			Page 173	Sprinklers are needed throughout the building, not just the upstairs.	Awaiting response from County Building Department.
10	Member of Public B	4/16/13	Page 2	Footer should read Table of Figures	Corrected
11			Page 25, Figure 3 4	Figure headings needs to be fixed.	Corrected throughout document
12			Page 31	There is no oversight of personnel qualifications, especially non EMT personnel. No County-wide procedure exists to prevent unqualified persons from being appointed as officers (captains, or battalion chiefs). This undermines the professionalism and quality of service provided. Cronyism is a persistent problem in small districts such as MHFPD without the resources to hire a qualified chief which would normally be in place to ensure that department personnel are properly trained and qualified to perform their respective duties. Consolidation reduces this problem and pools money which can be used to hire a competent and qualified chief, and depart from hiring retired former fire fighters, to fill the position, but are not willing or able to do their job.	Noted.
13			Page 39, MHFPD	The unpermitted, illegal addition of the second floor residential dormitory caused the entire building to be equipped with an automatic fire protection system, as well as an elevator. This is a significant expense, probably in the 100-200 thousand dollar range. Had the department consulted with qualified design professionals and had the building department review construction drawings for code compliance, they may have chosen not to construct the residential dormitory in the existing storage loft. This would have saved the district more than 100 thousand dollars, which could have been used for equipment replacement.	Noted. The need for the sprinklers and elevator are covered in the MHFPD chapter.
14			Page 165, Figure 10-3	Susie Coe was appointed, Larry Robertson was appointed, Dell Albright was appointed, Walt Wight has not served on the board in well over one year. He was replaced by Director Mark Foley, elected in 2009.	Table updated to reflect current board member names. In terms of election or appointment, awaiting a response from the County Registrar's Office.
15			Page 166	Government Code Section 54954.2 requires all public agencies to post agendas on their web site, if the local agency has one.	Given that Mokehill.org is not directly operated by the District, it appears that this requirement may not be applicable to this website. Report clarified that if the District cannot guide the content of this site, then it should develop its own site.
16			Page 166	There has been a criminal complaint filed with the Sheriff's office regarding financial misappropriation by district officials relating to the unpermitted construction of the residential dormitory and also the purchase of a new fire engine. Both of these board actions were not approved by the public and were not noticed on meeting agendas. This complaint has been referred by the sheriff to the District Attorney's office for investigation.	As the investigation is in process and there is no outcome, no additional content added to report.
17			Page 167, Last paragraph	Add "by" after investigated and before Cal OSHA.	Corrected.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
18			Page 168	The increase in calls may be attributable to reflective address sign program where fire department personnel and equipment were employed to install address signs on private parcels within the district. These were treated and logged as "calls" by the fire department.	The District reported that it didn't start logging address signs until 2012 and discontinued that practice in 2013. They are now logging non-emergency calls in a different way. The reason they were reportedly logged initially as incidents was to track time and fuel usage, but that practice has been discontinued. No change made to document
19			Page 170, 6th paragraph	This loan for the fire engine was refinanced with a grant loan combination through the USDA in 2011. This refinance amounts to a lease with the USDA holding title at the end of the lease.	Updated.
20			Page 173	The original fire station which was located on main street was sold over a decade ago and a new station was built in the late 1990's at the current location on Church Street.	Corrected.
21			Page 173, 2nd paragraph Infrastructure Needs	Rephrase first sentence to "to convert the loft storage area into living quarters..."	Clarified.
22			Page 173, 2nd paragraph Infrastructure Needs	The district was never granted a building permit to construct a residential dormitory with sleeping quarters, kitchen, lounge, and bathroom. The permit which was not issued was for a storage loft.	Clarified in text to indicate permit was not for living quarters.
23			Page 173, 2nd paragraph Infrastructure Needs	The entire building is required to be protected with an automatic sprinkler system, pursuant to the California Building Code and Fire Code.	Awaiting response from County Building Department.
24	David Spitzer, Chief MHFPD	5/25/13	General	I regret that in the transition between our former Chief and myself, your request for additional information about this District was overlooked. In reviewing your "final draft" as it was sent to us, I did not see any significant errors, and some of the information requested was not available to me at the time (for instance, the year of formation of the Mokelumne Hill Volunteer Fire Department.) At the time I did not realize that these seemingly-minor omissions would prove to be of such importance. I regret not having them the attention they deserved at that time.	Noted. Document updated to show that MHFPD eventually responded to all requests for information.
25			Page 163	Under Areas of Significance you state that the residents of Paloma are, "not receiving adequate services, due to MHFPD station's remoteness from the community". As of 19 May 2013 we had run 114 calls; 42 were to Mokelumne Hill versus 35 to Paloma. Response time (dispatch to arrival at scene) was 12 minutes 16 seconds for Paloma, which is 5 miles from the station, versus 4 minutes 49 seconds for calls in Mokelumne Hill.	Content added.
26			Page 174	In your Service Adequacy section you cite the NFPA fire response time guideline as "six minutes at least 90 percent of the time, with response time measured from the 911-call time to the arrival time of the first responder at the scene". We cannot account for the time between the 911-call and the dispatch time; that is entirely out of our control, and we don't know what that time is. Our dispatch to arrival time in Mokelumne Hill is, as stated above, 4 minutes 49 seconds. Allowing for 5 miles of additional travel at 50 mph, which equates to 6 minutes of travel time, our theoretical arrival in Paloma should be 10 minutes and 49 seconds; our actual average time to Paloma is 12 minutes and 16 seconds, a difference of 27 seconds. By the standard you cite we are meeting our goals.	The service adequacy section states that you are within the updated NFPA standard for volunteer and combination fire departments. No change.
27			Page 165	Under accountability and governance, "District Contact Information", the current Fire Chief is David H. Spitzer, and our address is 8160 Church St., Mokelumne Hill.	Updated.
28			Page 165	PLEASE NOTE that this District does not own or maintain a website. mokehillfire.org belongs to, and is maintained by, a group of citizens organized as the Mokelumne Hill Volunteer Firefighter's Association. The District does not determine content.	Clarified in document.
29			Page 165	The current Board of Directors includes Suzie Coe, John Dell'Orto, Larry Robertson, Del Albright, and Theodore Shannon. Theodore Shannon was appointed and will serve the remainder of Mark Foley's term.	Updated.
30			Page 168	Under "Existing demand", we ran in excess of 300 calls last year and are on track to equal that demand this year. We currently average 4 firefighters per call.	Noted.
31			Page 169	Under "Growth Strategies" you ask whether MHFPD provided any input on the general plan update. To the best of my knowledge we were not asked to provide input to that process.	Added.
32			Page 170	Under Financing you asked whether 'assessments' were different from 'special taxes'. My understanding is that the assessment is part of the county's general property taxes, and the special tax is a voter-approved per-parcel supplemental tax for this District. The special tax is \$75 per parcel, with a maximum of \$150 for contiguous parcels. The special tax does not increase over time, and it does not include a "sunset" provision, so it will not terminate unless voted out.	Added.
33			Page 172	Under Staffing: We currently have 15 personnel including the Chief, two battalion chiefs, two captains, and 10 firefighters. Please note that firefighters are reimbursed for calls, not paid. We also have 3 EMTs and 2 paramedic firefighters, although the paramedics are not certified in this county (they are career firefighters who are paramedics in their career positions).	Updated and clarified.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
34			Page 173	Under Facilities and Capacity - you indicate that the District has a water tender for water supply. All of the population centers in the District have main-supplied hydrants; the water tender is used for remote areas.	Added.
35			Page 173	Under Infrastructure Needs you list "replacing old equipment". We have two updated engines, a "fast attack" or "quick response" engine and a nearly-new "Type 2/3 Urban/Wildland Interface" engine identical to the current model used by Calfire. We plan for, budget for, and purchase equipment as needed.	Clarification added.
36			Page 174	Under Challenges: Hawver Ranch Road (please note spelling) is a paved, if narrow and twisted, road. Paloma is almost exactly 5 miles from our Station. Average response time to Paloma is 12 minutes 16 seconds. The population in Paloma has remained stable or decreased in recent years, as is true for the District as a whole, due to a number of factors.	Corrected.
37			Page 175	Under Service Adequacy you state that, "The District does not track response time for each incident." We use a third-party web-based system from Emergency Reporting System to manage our data, including response times for incidents. Calfire records times slightly differently than this District does, because they record the time we notify them by radio of our response. Due to radio traffic, we may not be able to notify them that we are in route to a call for one or more minutes. Never-the-less, our times are relatively consistent with those of the Dispatch center.	Corrected.
38	Suzie Coe, MHFPD Chair	4/18/13		The Mokelumne Hill Fire Protection District is in possession of a press release by your agency to "thepinetree.net" regarding the updated MSR of our fire district. At this time we must strongly object to the contents of that report as we find much of the information inaccurate, biased allegations and assure you the information did not originate from us.	We want this document to be as accurate as possible, and appreciate any comments on specific inaccuracies that are found in the document with substantiating documentation.
39				Our interview was conducted last year between your consultant, Jennifer Stephenson, Chief Cavalli and me. The accusations on pages 166-167 of your draft did not originate in that interview, are factually inaccurate and appear to be opinions generated by a disgruntled outside third party. Your consultant did not attend any of our board meetings (and especially closed sessions), did not witness Brown Act violations, nor did she double check facts asserted throughout the draft and instead listed slanderous broad generalizations. We would like to know why this draft is so dramatically different from the original draft you sent us for approval and how such false information came to be included into our District's Report.	All information in the document was corroborated by district documents (including minutes and agendas) and by other outside sources (including, but not limited to, interviews with Cal OSHA, Calaveras Building Department, Calaveras Auditor Controller, and members of the public). The draft that was sent to you was prior to receipt of the added information. If there is something specific that is inaccurate in the report, then please identify it with substantiating documentation and we will make appropriate edits to the document.
40				We are also extremely concerned, not only with the negativity of the report, but that you have stated that we are under investigation by the Grand Jury. How is it possible that something as confidential as a Grand Jury investigation ended up in your report? By law it is a misdemeanor to reveal such confidential information, violating numerous Penal Code sections, yet it was included in your public document!	Responded per Counsel's direction.
41				At this time we are formally requesting, through Public Records Request, the following documents: 1) All writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO or LAFCO and the Calaveras County Grand Jury or its members from July 2012 to the present. 2) All writings, hard copy or electronic, in possession of you John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents, on behalf of LAFCO reflecting communications pertaining to or providing the bases for the written LAFCO review of the MHFPD that appeared on "thepinetree.net" on 4-8-2013. 3) All writings, hard copy or electronic, between Jennifer Stephenson and you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO or LAFCO pertaining to the MHFPD from June 2012 to the present. 4) All writings, hard copy or electronic, including but not limited to email and correspondence and telephone call records between Jennifer Stephenson on behalf of LAFCO, you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO or LAFCO and "thepinetree.net" from July 1, 2012 to the present. The above requests include communication on all computers and electronic devices owned by you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, LAFCO, or Jennifer Stephenson, along with all phone records in the possession of you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, LAFCO, or Jennifer Stephenson. [the phone records responsive to this request have not been generated, and you decline to produce on that basis, an identical request will be generated by the MHFPD in 30 days.	Responded per Counsel's direction.
42				This MSR does not follow LAFCO's own guidelines which state, "The MSR and SOI update process: serves as a fair and impartial reviewer, following specific guidelines for the assessment of municipal services". We believe that we have not been fairly reviewed, and that you have allowed damaging, confidential and misleading information to be released to the public without any verification. We demand an immediate retraction to your MSR press release, all requested documents, and an opportunity to correct this situation as soon as possible.	We want this document to be as accurate as possible, and appreciate any comments on specific inaccuracies that are found in the document with appropriate substantiating documentation.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
43	Suzie Coe, MHFPD Chair	5/27/13	General	<p>On 18 April 2013 I sent you a request, citing the Public Records Request Act, for the following documents:</p> <p>1) All writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, and the Calaveras County Grand Jury or its members from July 2012 to the present.</p> <p>2) All writings, hard copy or electronic, in possession of you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents, on behalf of LAFCO reflecting communications pertaining to or providing the bases for the written LAFCO review of the Mokelumne Hill Fire Protection District (MHFPD) that appeared on "thepinetree.net" on 4-8-2013.</p> <p>3) All writings, hard copy or electronic, between Jennifer Stephenson and you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, pertaining to the MHFPD from July 1, 2012 to the present.</p> <p>4) All writings, hard copy or electronic, including but not limited to email and correspondence and telephone call records between Jennifer Stephenson on behalf of LAFCO, you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO or LAFCO and "thepinetree.net" from July 1, 2012 to the present.</p> <p>The above requests include communication of all computers and electronic devices owned by you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, LAFCO, or Jennifer Stephenson, along with all phone records of you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, LAFCO, or Jennifer Stephenson.</p>	Responded per Counsel's direction.
44			General	<p>In your acknowledgement of my request you state that, " ... no documents exist responsive to items (1) and (4) above."</p> <p>Item (1) is a request for communications between you or your company and the Calaveras County Grand Jury. On 8 April 2013 thepinetree.net published on its website the same draft version of the MSR as is currently on the LAFCO website. The Grand Jury report was first made available to the public on 10 May 2013, approximately one month after your MSR appeared on thepinetree.net. In the draft version of the MSR as published on the thepinetree.net and on the LAFCO website, under the category Accountability and Governance, you state, "The Civil Grand Jury is in the midst of reviewing MHFPD and the aforementioned allegations. The Grand Jury's report is expected to be released in June 2013 and will cover the Brown Act violations as well as other allegations of mismanagement on the part of the District." Therefore it is clear that you or your company had access to information from the Grand Jury approximately one month prior to its release to the public. We are requesting all writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, and the Calaveras County Grand Jury or its members, or any other person or persons, from July 2012 to the present upon which you based the information reported in the MSR you published, and which appeared on thepinetree.net on 8 April 2013.</p>	Responded per Counsel's direction.
45				<p>Item (4) in my original request for documents, as stated above, requested, "All writings, hard copy or electronic, including but not limited to email and correspondence and telephone call records between Jennifer Stephenson on behalf of LAFCO, you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO or LAFCO and "thepinetree.net" from July 1, 2012 to the present. Your draft MSR appeared on thepinetree.net on 8 April 2013, prior to the date it appeared on the LAFCO website. The draft MSR must, therefore, have been provided to some person or persons, or to the thepinetree.net, by either you or some member of your organization. We request under the Public Records Act all writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, by which this information was made available for publication on thepinetree.net. Please include any documents of any kind upon which you based this part of your MSR.</p>	Please note that the report in question was posted on the Policy Consulting Associates website on April 8th and then released via email to each district (including MHFPD), various other agency staff, members of the public that had shown interest during the review process, and LAFCO commissioners on April 9th. The document was not released directly to "thepinetree.net." Given that the document is available on our website, anyone had access to it.
46				<p>You further state in the Accountability and Governance section that, "There have been complaints to the County District Attorney's Office, ..." We are unaware of any complaints filed against us with the Calaveras County District Attorney. We request under the Public Records Act all writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, concerning complaints filed against this District with the Calaveras County District Attorney. Please include any documents of any kind upon which you based this part of your MSR.</p>	Responded per Counsel's direction.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
47				You further state in the Accountability and Governance section that, "There have been complaints to ..... the County's Building Department, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health Administration (CalOSHA), and LAFCO .... " In the brief conversation between Chief Spitzer, myself, and you at the 20 May 2013 meeting of the Calaveras LAFCO, you stated to us that you had, " ... verified everything in the MSR." We request under the Public Records Act all writings, hard copy or electronic, reflecting communications between you, John Benoit Policy Consulting Associates, its employees or agents on behalf of LAFCO, or LAFCO, from July 2012 to the present, concerning your verification of the complaints you allege in your MSR were filed against this District with, " ..... the County's Building Department, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health Administration (CalOSHA), and LAFCO."	Responded per Counsel's direction.
48	Suzie Coe, MHPFD Chair	5/27/13	General	On 19 February 2013 you sent a "final MSR draft" for our review prior to release to the public. You stated, "Additionally, we encourage you to review this draft for accuracy one last time prior to release for public review." Chief Spitzer reviewed the draft and found minor inaccuracies, but <b>concluded that the draft represented a fairly accurate view of our District.</b>	In addition to a review of accuracy, the email requested that answers be provided for the remaining questions that were in the report.
49				On 8 April 2013 thepinetree.net published a very different version of that draft containing inaccurate, outdated, inappropriate, illegal and illegally-obtained information. The version that was published on thepinetree.net and the LAFCO website was never sent to Mokelumne Hill Fire Protection District for review prior to publication. We find the altered version completely unacceptable.	The version that is sent to the agencies for review prior to release is done as a courtesy. This part of the review process is not legally required. Upon multiple failed attempts to contact MHPFD regarding the previous draft, the consultants chose to move forward with the new draft in the interest of keeping to the schedule and <b>reducing costs.</b>
50				It was noted in that draft that we did not respond to your emails; however, our telephone number has not changed and you could have emailed or mailed a hard-copy to us for review. You did not do that.	We attempted to contact the District via email on 11/27/12, 1/15/13, and 2/19/13. Additionally, a hard copy of the document was distributed at the Chief's meeting in February, and follow up phone calls were made to the District on 12/10/13 and 2/22/13.
51				On 23 May 2013 Chief Spitzer sent you detailed corrections to the original draft sent to us for review. We expect that you will rescind the version of our MSR published on thepinetree.net and the LAFCO website and reinstate the original version, with corrections as noted in Chief Spitzer's letter to you.	The comments by Chief Spitzer will be taken into consideration and applied to the most recent draft of the document.
52	Steve Kovacs, Chief CFPD and MFPD	5/27/13	Page 10	CFPD bounds and SOI size should be 187, WPPFD SOI should be 108	Corrected.
53			Page 11	CFPD does plan for capital improvements, as well as future growth needs.	CFPD does not have a multi-year capital improvement plan. All capital improvements are planned for on annual basis according to the interview with the former chief. No growth planning documents were provided by CFPD. It was reported that CFPD is in the process of completing its strategic plan where this growth planning may occur. Clarified in text.
54			General	Suggest use of agency as opposed to department as there is technically only one department in the County.	Change made throughout document.
55			Page 13	MFPD and CFPD track response times.	Corrected.
56			Page 13	Last paragraph - Not sure where this came from, but it is an assumption by someone.	It is a legal requirement that the MSR identify governance structure options. These are options that appear to be the most practicable based on similarities in services offered and areas served. They are discussed in more detail in Chapter 3. No change made.
57			Page 13	Last sentence last paragraph - This statement is an assumption and is not correct across the board.	Based on interviews with each of the district chiefs it was determined that consolidation in some form (i.e., JPAs and resource sharing agreements) were being considered by all districts. It is noted that these considerations may be informal in nature. Clarified that this reference includes resource sharing agreements.
58			Page 22	Calaveras County is not completely surrounded by the Stanislaus National Forest.	Corrected.
59			Page 27	Add MFPD to the list of agencies that took on additional territory during the reorganization in 2000.	Added.
60			Page 28	Figure 3-6 AMFPD does not have ladder truck capabilities, nor provides first responder swift water rescue	Corrected.
61			Page 29, 60, 93, and 182 maps	On page 29, there is a yellow flag towards the upper portion of Copper district close to San Andreas, which has no fire stations there that belong to any agency. Same with the map on page 93. Another on the map on page 60 for Altaville-Melones. There is a yellow flag in the south west corner where there is not a fire station that belongs to anyone. Lastly, on the map on page 182, there is another yellow flag in the west side where there is not a fire station that belongs to anyone.	Pending research.
62			Pages 30 and 31	Is each agency description suppose to match with Figure 3-6?	No, the agency descriptions are meant to be an abbreviated introduction to what the district offers. While the description should be accurate, it was not meant to be exhaustive.
63			Page 30	Under CFPD, change emergency medical response services to paramedic.	Changed.
64			Page 33	CalFire has 9 stations in Calaveras.	Corrected to show 9 stations, 1 conservation camp, and 1 lookout.
65			Page 33	Change St. Joseph Hospital to Mark Twain Medical Center.	The Medical Center refers to itself as Mark Twain St. Joseph Hospital on its website. No change made.
66			Page 34	Add WPPFD and MFPD to the list of agencies that received water tenders from the County.	Added.
67			Page 36	There are good reasons for the difference in reported calls.	Awaiting clarification from commenter.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
68			Page 41	Change condition of CFPD Station 1 to poor.	Updated.
69			Page 43	Change Calaveras County Training Officer's Association to Mother Lode Interagency Training Officer's Association	Changed throughout document.
70			Page 45	Add MFPD and CFPD to the agencies that track response times.	Updated.
71			Page 48	Figure 3-16, change Capital Planning and Advance growth planning to A scores for CFPD and MFPD	Certain standards were used in scoring the agencies on these various practices. With regard to capital planning, only agencies with a multi-year plan specific to capital improvements were given an A. With regard to growth planning, only those agencies that disclose existing capacity and anticipated needs throughout the existing service area in a document were given an A. CFPD upgraded to an I for capital improvement planning.
72			Page 49	Add CFPD to the list of agencies that conduct capital planning and growth planning	Clarified in text CFPD practices.
73			Page 51	Figure 3-18, CFPD special tax should be \$150 as the district has 2 taxes of \$75 each	Corrected.
74			Page 52	CFPD does not provide ambulance transport, so there are no revenues.	Removed.
75			Page 55	Add MFPD to the list agencies that identifies its gann limit.	The Gann Limit for MFPD is not identified in the District's audited financial statement. No change made.
76			Page 56	Governance Structure Options Paragraph 3 - You have basically called all of us unprofessional here.	A majority of the fire agencies in Calaveras rely on limited paid staffing levels, which limits the degree of management practices etc. that staff are able to implement. "Professional" here implies full-time paid staff that are able to dedicate all efforts to administration and management, which is not a luxury that many Calaveras fire agencies have. This comment in no way is meant to imply that agency staff are "unprofessional." No change made.
77			Page 57	How were these 3 governance options derived? Why were there no other options presented?	The section identifies several options with regard to consolidation - formation of a new agency, consolidation into an existing agency, and formation of a JPA. This section also identifies the geographical options, which include countywide or regional consolidations. The regional consolidations were determined based on similar land use composition, demand, and service levels. No change made.
78			Page 57	EPPFD is also Calpers.	Added.
79			Page 57	Why include EPPFD as part of the SR 4 Corridor option?	It was necessary to identify EPPFD as part of the option, since the District would seem most compatible with those districts identified in the SR 4 corridor option and as part of the MSR LAFCO is required to identify governance options. However, please note that the text goes on to describe that EPPFD may not be the best fit in this option. No change made.
80			Page 60	What about the territory that AMFPD has lost to city annexations?	Corrected.
81			Page 62	Jim Rosbrook is now the chief. Remove references to assistant chief.	Updated.
82			Page 65	There is really no reorganization consideration at this time between MFPD, CFPD and AMFPD.	Updated.
83			Page 66	Figure 4-5 should show that AMFPD does not have a ladder truck, does not provide ALS, and does have oxygen filling for breathing apparatuses.	Corrected.
84			Page 67	There are no off duty paid personnel at AMFPD.	Corrected.
85			Page 67	First paragraph under Staffing is redundant.	No change.
86			Page 68	Only some subdivisions have hydrants.	Clarified.
87			Page 69	Mountain Valley EMS Agency response time standards are not applicable to AMFPD.	Added clarifying sentence that these standards were intended for ambulance contractors, but may be indicative of response time standards for ems responses.
88			Page 71	Figure 4-6, Delete paid staff in the training section.	Changed.
89			Page 92	Should the opening paragraph reflect Figure 3-6?	No, the agency descriptions are meant to be an abbreviated introduction to what the district offers. While the description should be accurate, it was not meant to be exhaustive.
90			Page 92	Include that Tuolumne County is to the South of CFPD and Stanislaus and San Joaquin are to the West.	Added.
91			Page 92	Under boundaries, the area annexed into CFPD was previously served by AMFPD.	Corrected.
92			Page 95	Expiration dates of board members were provided.	Awaiting requested term expiration dates.
93			Page 96	(Also in determinations) If you received all the information, how is this partial accountability?	The District was identified as being partially accountable because multiple follow ups were necessary in order to get all requested information. No change made.
94			Page 98	Financing, second paragraph, challenges section, and determinations - change three to four years to five years.	Updated.
95			Page 103	Service Adequacy 4th Paragraph - last 2 sentences contradict each other.	Corrected.
96			Page 105	Figure 6-4 - Change condition of Station 1 to poor	Updated.
97			Page 106	CFPD does track response times.	Corrected.
98			Page 107	District does have reserves.	Deleted.
99			Page 180	Should the opening paragraph reflect Figure 3-6?	No, the agency descriptions are meant to be an abbreviated introduction to what the district offers. While the description should be accurate, it was not meant to be exhaustive.

**Log of Comments**

**Calaveras Fire Municipal Service Review Public Review Draft Comment Log**

Comment #	Commenter/Agency	Date	Page Section	Comment	Response
100			Page 183 Page 184	MFPD board meeting is Wednesday not Tuesday (Also in determinations) If you received all the information, how is this partial accountability?	Changed. The District was identified as being partially accountable because multiple follow ups were necessary in order to get all requested information. No change made.
101			Page 187	Delete the capital improvement trust fund balance shown of \$526,317	Changed to 126,317 based on the correction provided previously by the chief. Awaiting chief's confirmation.
102			Page 191	Remove twice from description about payments from the high school	Deleted.
103			Page 192	MFPD tracks its response times	Corrected.
104			Page 193	Figure 11-6 Station 2 is staffed by volunteers, total staff is 33.	Corrected.
105			Page 195	MFPD only has one loan.	Corrected.
106	Bert Sobon, LAFCO Commissioner	5/28/13	General	How/when will the approved consolidation of the Foothill and Jenny Lind districts be factored into the MSR?	Content has been updated to reflect the completion of the consolidation.
107			General	Will the Mokelumne Hill grand jury issue have a bearing on any part of their section of the MSR	Content has been updated to reflect the recently released Grand Jury report.
108	Jack Lynch, LAFCO Commissioner	5/28/13	General	My impression of the Fire MSR, Public Review Draft, is a favorable one. It appears to be complete and that it's ready for the Public Hearing in June.	Thank you.
109					